





PPR Monitoring and Assessment Tool (PMAT)

Viola Chemis FAO/WOAH PPR Secretariat















PPR Monitoring and Assessment Tool (PMAT)







PMAT

☐ Peste des petits ruminants (PPR) Monitoring and Assessment Tool (PMAT) is a companion tool to the PPR Global Control and Eradication Strategy (GCES) and a pillar of its implementation


- ☐ It serves to:
 - ☐ to qualify countries at the appropriate stage along the step-wise approach for the eradication of PPR (assessment tool) and
 - to monitor progress within a given Stage and provide an indicative list of activities to implement in the year to come (monitoring tool)



- ☐ The first generation PMAT has been in use since 2017 with training sessions provided to members countries during different PPR Regional Roadmap meetings
- However, reviews during countries' visits or meetings did show that the tool was not been properly used in many countries and sometimes it was not used at all.
- ☐ Taking lesson from that situation, PPR-AC, at its meeting in July 2019 in Nairobi, recommended to FAO and WOAH Managements that action be taken for the revise PMAT

PMAT In-depth review process

- FAO/WOAH launched PMAT revision in 2020
- ☐ FAO/WOAH PMAT revision expert team (the Team) of 4 independent experts: Susanne Münstermann, Giancarlo Ferrari, Rabindra Singh, Jeffrey Mariner and Adama Diallo
- ☐ The Team undertook the revision jointly with the PPR secretariat
 - Literature review
 - Questionnaire for PMAT problem identification
 - 63 out of 93 countries provided their feedback





- ☐ Useful for the assessment of the PPR eradication activities;
- ☐ Fit for purpose and would help identifying gaps in the PPR eradication pathways;
- ☐ Should include all three components of the GCES; Technical elements of PPR Eradication, Strengthening VS & focus on other priority SRDs
- □ Not widely used because too complex (more training needed);
- ☐ Too prescriptive in claiming the requirement of achieving ALL the activities of the previous stage in order to move to the next one;
- ☐ Restructure of PMAT was necessary.



- The Team developed a revised draft PMAT questionnaire in a new format
- ☐ The new PMAT questionnaire has undergone internal review at WOAH and FAO
- ☐ The revised PMAT (PMAT Questionnaire & User Guide) was piloted at the PMAT Training webinar for Arab Organisation for Agricultural Development (AOAD) countries : 24-25 March 2021
- ☐ The revised PMAT (PMAT Questionnaire & User Guide) was presented for a final round of consultation at the "Stakeholder workshop for the presentation and review of the revised PMAT": 3-4 June 2021
- ☐ Finalised PMAT awaiting FAO/WOAH final validation for publication

PMAT 2 Questionnaire structure

PMAT2 Questionnaire:

- Restructured along the five Technical Elements of the PPR GCES: <u>Diagnostic System</u>, <u>Surveillance</u>, <u>Prevention and Control</u>, <u>Legal Framework</u>, <u>Stakeholders' Involvement</u>)
- Covers all the three Components of the PPR GCES (Component 1:<u>PPR eradication</u>, Component
 2: <u>Veterinary Services Strengthening</u>, Component
 3: <u>Control of other priority diseases of small ruminants</u>)
- A <u>scoring system</u> has been introduced to help quantify the level of achievement or completion of activities. The categorization of a country in a specific Stage is based on the level of achievement within the PPR specific component activities/achievements (Component 1); threshold to move to the next Stage has been reduced from 100% to 80%
- Allows outputs to be generated automatically in different graphs; generates automatically tables of pending activities to assist future work planning.

PMAT Questionnaire structure

The questionnaire is available electronically and is divided into 11 individual worksheets named as follows:

- (1) Preliminary Info,
- (2) Diagnostic system,
- (3) Surveillance,
- (4) Prevention & Control,
- (5) Legislation Framework,
- (6) Stakeholders' involvement

Those first 6 worksheets have to be filled in by the users

PMAT Questionnaire structure

The questionnaire is available electronically in excel format and is divided into 11 individual worksheets named as follows:

- (7) Output,
- (8) Pending activities Stage 1,
- (9) Pending activities Stage 2,
- (10) Pending activities Stage 3 and
- (11) Pending activities Stage 4.

These 5 last worksheets are automatically filled out according to the entries in first 6 sheets.

Questionnaire Structure: FIRST WORKSHEET= Preliminary Informations

											$\langle \rangle$
4	A B C D	E	F		G	Н	1	J	K	L	
1	COUNTRY										
2	List of officers filling the PMAT		Techni	ical E	lemen	it		Position			
3											
4											
5											
6											
7											
8	Date of completion										
9											
10	Notes										
	(1) Please write only within the cells filled in grey color(2) It is assumed that there is one responsible officer for each of the five										
12	technical element. If this is not the case additional rows can be added										
	(3) When inserting the date of completion please use the dd/mm/yyyy										
13	format										
14											
15											
16											
17 18											
19											
20											
21											
	Preliminary Info Diagnostic Surveillance Preventi	ion&Cont	trol L	egal Fr	ramewo	rk Sta	keholders	ООТРОТ	Peni	🕂 :	4

Questionnaire Structure:: Organisation by Technical element, by Stage and then Component :: Case of the Diagnostic System, Component 1.

	Questio									
Stage	n	STATEMENT	QUESTION	Level of Achievement** (please see the footnote)	Comments on achievements to date	Activities to be completed	Timeline			
STAGE 1	Q1	management of any disease. Throughout	Has the National Veterinary diagnostic capacity been assessed and is there EITHER at least one national laboratory designated for PPR diagnostic activities OR an agreement in place with at least one laboratory abroad to carry out this service?	<select an="" option=""></select>			\(\frac{1}{2}\)			
STAGE 2	Q6	(veterinarian and veterinary	Have field veterinarians received sufficient training in order to possess knowledge of the sample types and sample collection/transport requirements for PPR diagnostic testing?	<select an="" option=""></select>						
STAGE 3	Q8	Reliability of test results is ensured through the implementation of quality assurance and quality control (QA/QC) system in diagnostic laboratories.	Is a QA/QC system for PPR diagnostic activities in place in the designated laboratory (or laboratories)?	<select an="" option=""></select>						
STAGE 4	Q11 ting for	laboratories. The full genome sequence information is useful for molecular epidemiology as well as for virus	Is full genome sequence information available for the PPR virus strain(s) collected in your country?	<select an="" option=""></select>	Lebanon, Beiru	t, 11-13 September 20	22			


Questionnaire Structure:: Organisation by Technical element, by Stage and then Component:: Case of the Critical Competencies, the Component 2.

K X										
	$\langle 1 \rangle$	Critical Competencies related to Prev	rention and Control - Component 2							
Stage	PVS CC	STATEMENT	OIE minimum level of achievement required	Country level of achievement	Comments on achievements to date					
STAGE 1	CC 1.3	The veterinary services (VS) have access to CE (for appropriate PPR prevention and control activities) that is reviewed and sometimes updated, but it is implemented only for some categories of veterinary professionals and paraprofessionals.	Level 3	<select an="" option=""></select>						
	CC I.1.A	The majority of positions requiring veterinary or other professional skills are occupied by appropriately qualified professionals at local (field) levels for appropriate PPR prevention and control activities.	Level 3	<select an="" option=""></select>						
STAGE 2	CC I.1.B	The majority of positions requiring veterinary paraprofessional skills are occupied by personnel holding appropriate qualifications for appropriate PPR prevention and control activities and there is a variable level of veterinary supervision.	Level 3	<select an="" option=""></select>						
	CC 1.2.B	The training and qualifications of veterinary paraprofessionals is of a fairly uniform standard that allows the development of some specific competencies for appropriate PPR prevention and control activities.	Level 3	<select an="" option=""></select>						
	FMD/PPR Consultative Meeting for East	Mediterranean Countries		Leba	non, Beirut, 11-13 Septer					

Questionnaire Structure: : Organisation by Technical element, by Stage and then Component::Case of the Other Small Ruminant Priority Diseases, the Component 3.

	Other small	ruminant diseases (country specific) - Component 3	
	STATEMENT	QUESTION	Level of achievement	Comments on achievements to date
	combine prevention and control activities against other small ruminant diseases.	Have you formulated and implemented PPR prevention and control activities in conjunction with activities against other small ruminant diseases?	<select an="" option=""></select>	
FI	MD/PPR Consultative Meeting for East Mediterranean Cou	ntries	Lebanon, B	eirut, 11-13 September 2022

Questionnaire Structure: Outputs Graph indicating the percentage of questions answered.




Questionnaire Structure: Outputs Graph indicating the showing the overall level of achievements.

Overall level of achievement by Stage and Technical element in %

Technical element	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4	Averages across stages
Diagnostic	80,00	50,00		•	32,50
Surveillance	33,33	12,50	4,17	•	12,50
Prevention&Control	27,77	•	25,00	•	13,19
Legal Framework	20,00	•		•	5,00
Stakeholders	70,00	15,63	12,50	•	24,53
Averages within stages	46,22	15,63	8,33		

Questionnaire Structure: Outputs Graph indicating the overall level of achievements.





Questionnaire Structure: Outputs

Example of the diagnostic system sheet filled in with comments, activities to be completed and timeline.

\L		and timeline.									
1	Α	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н			
1				Diagnosti	c system - Component 1						
2	Stage	Question	STATEMENT	QUESTION	Level of Achievement	Comments on achievements to date	Activities to be completed	Timeline			
3		Q1	national PPR control and eradication activities, samples must be collected for laboratory testing in order to confirm or rule-out PPR virus infection.	Has the National Veterinary diagnostic capacity been assessed and is there EITHER at least one national laboratory designated for PPR diagnostic activities OR an agreement in place with at least one laboratory abroad to carry out this service?	Diagnostic services available at national level						
4		Q2	Currently, in accordance with the OIE Terrestrial Manual, the basic technique for PPR diagnosis is the ELISA for both the antibody and antigen detection.	Are staff trained to perform ELISA tests?	Yes						
5	STAGE 1	Q3	detection using diagnostic tests, and early response	diagnostic samples from 80% of PPR	No	able to analyse samples from	We have planned an awareness campaign among veterinarians and stakeholders to increase uptake to 80%.	The state of the s			

Filling in the Questionnaire: Typical Activities

1 /1 / / / /				
	Stage 1	Stage 2	Stage 3	Stage 4
				>
Diagnostic	Designation of at least one laboratory (at national level or outside the country) for PPR diagnosis.	Training of field veterinarian personnel on PPR clinical diagnosis and samples to be submitted to the laboratory for diagnostic testing.	Establishing QA/QC system in the designated PPR diagnostic laboratory with participation in inter-laboratory proficiency testing.	Full genome sequencing either at national level or outside the country for all PPRV collected samples; sequestration of all PPRV containing materials.
Legal Framework	Establishing a Working Group specifically to evaluate gaps in the veterinary legislation in regards to PPR control and eradication that need to be addressed. The Working Group should consist of legal experts and relevant stakeholders.	The Working Group holds meetings with stakeholders to better understand the economic impact of PPR control measures such as quarantine, vaccination, culling and evaluates approaches for financial assistance (compensation, emergency funding etc) best suited to these situations and submits proposals for relevant changes to legislation.	The Working Group proposes procedures for compensation and receives legal approval for these; the Working Group ensures that all legal provisions required for Stage 4 are being prepared while still in Stage 3 (e.g strengthening import control, biosecurity at marketplaces, compensation schemes)	The Working Group makes final adjustments to the legal framework to support Stage 4, including the provision for risk assessments to be carried out in trade partner countries prior to importation of small ruminants into the country.


PMAT Questionnaire: User guide

User Guide provides:

- A description of the structure of the PMAT questionnaire
- ✓ Detailed instructions with examples of how to complete the PMAT questionnaire
- ✓ Guidance on how to interpret the outcomes from filling in the questionnaire
- ✓ Examples of typical activities per Stage of the PPR stepwise approach
- ✓ Role, responsibilities and interactions between key stakeholders (e.g., national staff, RAGs, RECs, PPR Secretariat, representatives from the respective OIE and FAO regional/sub-regional offices) in the completion and review of the PMAT at the national, regional and international levels

The New PMAT: PMAT2

Interactions between Key Stakeholders linking national, regional and inter-regional levels





- The revised PMAT has been used in the GEP revision for gathering of information from countries at the regional consultation meetings
- ☐ Translation of PMAT to be done in FR (WOAH) and RU (FAO)
- ☐ Development of an online PMAT tutorial for continuous learning
- ☐ Tailored guidance to be provided to countries to complete the PMAT and assess its progress along the stepwise approach by establishing the mechanism of the PPR Technical Support Experts (PPR TSE)
- ☐ Launch the revised PMAT in meeting of RAGS in early 2023



Thank you